

SECURITIES AND FUTURES COMMISSION 證券及期貨事務監察委員會

Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Seminar

October 2018

Raymond Wong, Director Ivan Wan, Senior Manager Carmen Cheng, Manager

Intermediaries Supervision Department, Intermediaries Division

Disclaimer and Reminder

Where this presentation refers to certain aspects of the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Ordinance (AMLO) and the guidelines on AML/CFT published by the SFC, it provides information of a general nature that is <u>not</u> based on a consideration of specific circumstances. Furthermore, it is <u>not</u> intended to cover all requirements that are applicable to you and your firm. Accordingly, it should not be regarded as a substitute for seeking detailed advice on any specific case from your own professional adviser.

The SFC is the owner of the copyright and any other rights in the PowerPoint materials of this presentation. These materials may be used for personal viewing purposes or for use within your firm. Such materials may not be reproduced for or distributed to third parties, or used for commercial purposes, without the SFC's prior written consent.

Agenda

Update on key AML/CFT regulatory requirements

Inspection findings and other supervisory observations on AML/CFT



Update on key AML/CFT regulatory requirements



Update on key AML/CFT regulatory requirements



Update on key AML/CFT regulatory requirements



Key proposed amendments to keep in line with the latest Financial Action Task Force ("FATF") standards Key proposed amendments to facilitate compliance

Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Ordinance (Financial Institutions) (Amendment) Ordinance 2018

- Extend the statutory customer due diligence and recordkeeping requirements to cover the following designated nonfinancial businesses or professions ("DNFBPs"):
 - Legal professionals;
 - Accounting professionals;
 - Estate agents; and
 - Trust or company service providers

when they engage in specified transactions Note.

 Introduce a licensing regime for trust or company service providers

Note: Specified transactions include real estate transactions; management of client money, securities or other assets; management of bank, savings or securities accounts; company formation and management; and buying and selling of business entities, etc.

Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Ordinance (Financial Institutions) (Amendment) Ordinance 2018

Beneficial
ownership
threshold

 Align the threshold of defining beneficial ownership with the prevailing FATF's standards and international practice by changing it from the current "not less than 10%" to "more than 25%"

Customers not physically present for identification purpose

 Reflect technological developments in the methods used by FIs for obtaining information relating to customers

Group reliance

 Add a related foreign financial institution of an FI to the types of intermediaries through whom an FI can carry out CDD measures

Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing Ordinance (Financial Institutions) (Amendment) Ordinance 2018

Wire transfers	 Reflect the current requirements relating to wire transfers in the FATF standards
Record-keeping period	 Change the record retention period from "six years" to "at least five years"



Companies (Amendment) Ordinance 2018

Keep a register of significant controllers	• Require applicable companies incorporated in Hong Kong to keep a register of individuals who (and the legal entities which) have significant control over the companies for inspection upon demand by law enforcement officers
Identify	 Applicable companies are required to take reasonable steps to
significant	identify their significant controllers, including the giving of notices and
controllers	obtaining their required particulars

United Nations (Anti-Terrorism Measures) (Amendment) Ordinance 2018

Prohibit:

- any Hong Kong permanent resident from travelling to a foreign state for the purpose of terrorist acts or terrorist training;
- the provision or collection of any property to finance the travel of any person between states for the purpose of terrorist acts or terrorist training #;
- the organization, or other facilitation, of the travel of any person between states for the purpose of terrorist acts or terrorist training [#]; and
- dealing with any property, knowingly that, or being recklessly as to whether the property is specified terrorist property or property owned or controlled by, held on behalf of or at the direction of a specified terrorist or terrorist associate [#].

[#]These prohibitions shall apply to (a) any person in the HKSAR, and (b) any person outside the HKSAR who is a Hong Kong permanent resident or a body incorporated or constituted under the law of the HKSAR.

Cross-boundary Movement of Physical Currency and Bearer Negotiable Instrument Ordinance

- Establish a declaration and disclosure system to detect the cross-boundary movement of currency and bearer negotiable instruments into or out of Hong Kong
- Provide for the powers to restrain the movement of physical currency and bearer negotiable instruments suspected to be related to money laundering and terrorist financing

- To keep in line with the latest FATF standards

Domestic and international organisation PEPs

- Expand the scope of PEPs to include persons who have been entrusted with a prominent function by an international organisation (ie. international organisation PEPs)
- Extend the special measures to be taken on foreign PEPs to domestic PEPs and international organisation PEPs when there is a higher risk business relationship
- Provide additional guidance on how to establish the source of funds and source of wealth of PEPs



- To keep in line with the latest FATF standards

Group-wide AML/CFT systems

- Require LCs incorporated in Hong Kong to implement group-wide AML/CFT systems in all of their overseas branches and subsidiary undertakings that carry on the same business as financial institutions
- Stipulate that such group-wide AML/CFT systems should include information sharing policies and provision of information to group-level functions subject to adequate safeguards

ML/TF risks that may arise from the use of new technologies

 Identify and assess the ML/TF risks arising from the use of new or developing technologies for both new and pre-existing products prior to their use

- To keep in line with the latest FATF standards

Tipping-off

 Allow LCs to stop pursuing the CDD process if they reasonably believe that performing the process will tip off the customer, and require the LCs to file a suspicious transaction report (STR) to the Joint Financial Intelligence Unit (JFIU) in these circumstances

Record-keeping

 Keep records obtained throughout the CDD and ongoing monitoring process, including the results of any analysis undertaken (e.g., inquiries to establish the background and purposes of complex, unusual large transactions)

- To facilitate compliance

Identity verification of natural persons under the risk-based approach

- No longer require the verification of every piece of identification information if principal aspects of an individual identity are verified against documents, data or information provided by a reliable and independent source
- Standardise identity verification requirements for all types of individual customers regardless of residency

Identity verification of legal persons under the riskbased approach

 Allow increased flexibility for using documents provided by different reliable and independent sources in verifying the name, legal form and current existence of a legal person customer, and powers that regulate and bind the customer

- To facilitate compliance

Person purporting to act on behalf of the customer ("PPTA")

- Remove the general rule that LC should identify and verify those persons authorised to give instructions for the movement of funds or assets as PPTA
- Provide increased flexibility in determining whether a natural person is considered to be a PPTA, which should be based on the nature of that person's roles and the activities which the person is authorised to conduct, as well as the ML/TF risks associated with these roles and activities.

Supplementary measures on customers who are not physically present for identification purposes

 Allow LCs to adopt other supplementary measures such as checking relevant data against reliable databases or registries, or using appropriate technology, in addition to the use of an independent and appropriate person to certify identification documents, to guard against the impersonation risk

- To facilitate compliance

Beneficial owners of a legal person

 Where no natural person ultimately owns or controls a legal person customer, LCs should identify the relevant natural persons who hold the position of senior managing official in the legal person, and take reasonable measures to verify their identities.



Inspection findings and other supervisory observations



IRA	 Risk factors to be taken into consideration in IRA Types of information sources to be used in performing IRA
Consequence	Failure to consider ML/TF risks in all relevant key areas and/or to use the relevant available data







CRA

Assessment methodology to produce consistent ratings that take into account risk factors in all relevant key areas



Different results despite of similar profiles







Customer risk assessment

CUSTOMER RISK		
1. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Ø YES	□ NO
2. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	🗆 YES	⊠ NO
3. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Ø YES	□ NO
COUNTRY RISK		
4. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	⊠ YES	□ NO
5. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	D YES	⊠ NO
PRODUCT/SERVICE RISK		
6. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	□ YES	⊠ NO
DELIVERY/DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL RISK		
7. ~~~~~~~~~~	☑ YES	□ NO
8. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	□ YES	⊠ NO

If there is any "YES" in reply to question 1-5, customer risk rating will be "*High*". If there is any "YES" in reply to question 6-8, customer risk rating will be "*Normal*". If none of the reply is "YES", customer risk rating will be "*Low*".

		High risk
/	-	Medium risk
(☑	Low risk
	Justi	fication for any manual override:

Sign off: <u>Account opening staff</u>

A high risk customer under the firm's assessment methodology was reclassified as low risk without any justification

25

<text></text>	E
---------------	---



Initial and on-going CDD

The list of high risk customers prepared for annual review was incomplete, and error was not picked up in supervisory review

Omission in inputting customer risk levels into the firm's internal system used to generate the list

Mismatch

Customer risk levels inputted into the firm's internal system was different from that recorded in the assessment form





Customer risk rating: □ Normal risk ☑ High risk Customer risk rating: NORMAL RISK

Transaction monitoring

Review of transactions in some high risk accounts was omitted by compliance department which failed to ensure that all high risks accounts were included in the review process

HIGH RISK CUSTOMERS

List of clients identified as PEP	s	
		dd-mm-yy
Account number	XXXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXXX	2000000	dd-mm-yy
X00000X	XXXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy
XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	00.00
XXXXXXX		

HIGH RISK CUSTOMERS

List of clients from countries that are not members of FATF

Account number			APPROVED
XXXXXX	Customer number		
XXXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	
XXXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXXX	XXXXXX		No
	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXXX	XXXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXXX		dd-mm-yy	
XXXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
	XXXXXX		No
CXXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXX	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	No
XXXXX		dd-mm-yy	No
	XXXXXX	dd-mm-yy	
			No

28

Suspicious transaction evaluation and reporting

Suspicious transaction alerts were disposed of without any justification

		NSACTION RE		ount number	Am	ount	Neu	
ATE	Cus	tomer name			X000	,xxx,	0000	OXX
d-mm-yy	Clie	ent A	>>>>		1 YOO	CXXX	0000	xxx
ld-mm-yy	Cli	ent A	XXX	0000	-	x,xxx	xxx	xxx
	Cli	ent A	xx	XXXXX		x,xxx	x00	xxx
ld-mm-yy	Cli	ient A	XX	XXXX	-		xxx	XXXX
id-mm-yy	C	ient A	xx	20000		ok,xxx	xx	XXXXX
dd-mm-yy		lient A	x	00000	_	ox,xxx	-	DOOXX
dd-mm-yy	-	lient A	x	X0000X		XXX,XXX	-	00000
dd-mm-yy				20000X	×	XXX,XXX	-	
dd-mm-yy	1.	Client B	_	000000)	XX,XXX	-	XXXXXX
dd-mm-yy		Client B		XXXXXXXX		XXX,XXX	-	XXXXX
dd-mm-yy	1	Client B		XXXXXXXX		XXX,XXX	>	000000
dd-mm-yy		Client B			-	XXX,XXX	3	xxxxxx
dd-mm-yy		Client B		X00000X		XXXX,XXXX		XXXXXXXXX
dd-mm-y		Client B		X00000K		XXX,XXX		XXXXXXXXX
dd-mm-y	_	Client B		X00000K		XXX,XXX		XXXXXXXX
-		Client B		X00000X		XXX,XXX		XXXXXXX
dd-mm-y	-	XXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXX				XXXXXXXX
dd-mm-y		XXXXXXX		XXXXXXX		XXX,XXX		XXXXXXXX
dd-mm-		XXXXXXXX		XXXXXXX		XXX,XXX		xxxxxxx
dd-mm-	уу			XXXXXXXXXX		XXXX,XXXX		X00000X
dd-mm	уу	XXXXXXX		X00000X		XXX,XXX		
dd-mm	уу	X0000X		X00000X		XXX,XXX		>000000
dd-mm	-уу	XXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXX		XXXX,XXXX		X00000
dd-mm	-уу	XXXXXXXX				XXX,XXX		xxxxxxx
dd-mn	п-уу	XXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXX		XXX,XXX		XXXXXX
dd-mr		xxxxxxx		XXXXXXX				

Large and unusual <u>third party</u> deposits and withdrawals were identified by alerts:

- Client A for 7 times
- Client B for <u>8</u> times

These alerts were disposed of by compliance department which failed to keep proper records of its deliberation and actions taken to demonstrate that it had acted in reasonable manner in concluding that there was no suspicion.

Need for increased vigilance

LCs should critically examine the following arrangements and transactions, and to the extent that any suspicion of market misconduct or other illegal activities that cannot be dispelled through enquiries, they should make a report to the JFIU, and where appropriate, terminate the relevant client accounts.

Use of multiple accounts	Multiple corporate accounts, which are beneficially owned/controlled by the same group of persons, are maintained by clients with an LC for conducting transactions				
Off-exchange transactions	Transfers of listed shares by bought and sold notes in off- exchange transactions, sometimes involving more than one LC				
Unusual fund/ stock transfers	Frequent fund/ stock transfers to or from third parties that are unrelated, unverified or difficult to verify				

Need for increased vigilance

Some illustrative case examples:

Use of multiple accounts	Unusual funds/stocks transfer	Case examples 2 & 3
--------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------

Case example 2



Case example 1

Use of multiple accounts for conducting large trading transactions in a single stock



Case example 2

Transfers of listed shares by bought and sold notes in offexchange transactions



Case example 3

Unusual third party fund transfers



LCs should evaluate potentially suspicious transactions holistically (instead of adhering mechanically to some internal thresholds) and have measures in place to identify client accounts which have unusual amount of smurfing transactions for evaluation.

Thank you

AML/CFT section of the SFC's website:

http://www.sfc.hk/web/EN/rule-book/anti-money-laundering-andcounter-terrorist-financing/

