

SECURITIES AND FUTURES COMMISSION 證券及期貨事務監察委員會

# Consultation Conclusions on the draft Securities and Futures (Insurance) Rules 《證券及期貨(保險)規則》草擬本的諮詢總結

Hong Kong September 2002

香港 2002 年 9 月

# 引言

- 在 2002 年 6 月 26 日,證券及期貨事務監察委員會("證監會")
   發表諮詢文件,邀請公眾人士就《證券及期貨(保險)規則》的
   草擬本("《草擬規則》")發表意見。
- 2. 諮詢期於 2002 年 7 月 25 日結束。
- 本文件旨在概述證監會於諮詢期內接獲的主要意見及本會的回應。
- 4. 本文件應連同該諮詢文件一併閱讀。

# 諮詢公眾意見

- 證監會除發出公布以徵詢公眾意見外,亦透過金融服務網絡 (FinNet)的通訊網絡向所有註冊中介人發放該諮詢文件,並將 該文件登載於證監會網站內。
- 6. 證監會共接獲9份回應該諮詢文件的意見書,其中1份由5家 國際經紀行<sup>1</sup>透過其律師集體提交;另外3份則分別由香港證券 經紀業協會有限公司、證券商協會有限公司及香港律師會證券 法例委員會提交。上述意見已登載於證監會網站內。其餘的意 見書由5名要求本會將其姓名及意見內容保密的人士提交。

# 意見摘要及證監會的回應

7. 回應者一般對本會根據《草擬規則》建議推行的強制性忠誠保

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 高盛(亞洲)有限責任公司、J.P. Morgan、Merrill Lynch (Asia Pacific) Limited、摩根士丹利添惠亞洲有限公司及所羅門美邦香港有限公司。

險計劃("忠誠保險計劃")表示支持,並就若干政策及管理事宜 提出查詢和發表意見。他們的意見摘要及證監會的回應載於**附** 件1。

# 諮詢總結

8. 證監會仔細考慮過所收到的意見並經諮詢保險界的顧問後,對 《草擬規則》作出若干修訂(經修訂的《草擬規則》載於附件
2)。《草擬規則》的主要修改概述如下:

# <u>第1條:生效日期</u>

 為了配合香港聯合交易所有限公司目前為其參與者安排的經 紀忠誠保險計劃的屆滿日期,證監會已將《草擬規則》的生效 日期修訂為 2003 年 4 月 1 日,即於主體條例的預計實施日期 之後生效。

# 第3條:適用範圍

# 合資格的保險人

- 部分回應者認為就品質控制而言,《草擬規則》應就包銷忠誠 保險計劃的保險人制定最低的規定或資格要求(例如信貸評 級、資金限額等條件)。
- 11. 證監會同意上述意見,並已修改《草擬規則》,規定最低的標準為有關的保險人必須在計劃期間開始當日達到指明的信貸 評級。此外,證監會認為將這項規定同時應用於集體或全球保險單的保險人是合適的做法,因持牌法團(並非交易所參與者) 可藉著該等保險單申請豁免參與忠誠保險計劃(經修訂的《草擬規則》第3(3)(b)(i)(B)及6(2)條與此相關)。

- 上述指明的信貸評級已載列於經修訂的《草擬規則》附表4內。
   正如本會建議,指明的信貸評級指:
  - (i) 穆迪的長期保險財務實力評級為 "A" 級或以上;
  - (ii) 標準普爾的保險公司財政實力評級為 "A" 級或以上; 或
  - (iii) 惠譽的 "Insurer Financial Strength Rating" 為 "A" 級或以上。
- 13. 除了就保險人的指明信貸評級作出規定外,證監會現建議有關的集體或全球保險單的保險人不得是希望獲豁免參與忠誠保險計劃的持牌法團的有連繫法團(經修訂的《草擬規則》第 3(3)(b)(i)(A)條與此相關)。此舉可避免出現同一集團的公司之間可能互相轉移風險的情況。

# 提交書面確認以獲得豁免

- 14. 至於根據經修訂的《草擬規則》第3(3)(b)或(c)條提交所需的書面確認以獲得豁免一事,證監會為了就此訂定更具體的時限,第(b)(ii)及(c)款現訂明,所需的確認書必須在計劃期間開始的60日或之前向證監會提交。
- 15. 此外,根據經修訂的《草擬規則》第3(5)條,凡第3(3)(b)或(c) 條提述的保險單在計劃期間完結前屆滿,而有關的持牌法團是 希望藉著前述計劃獲豁免參與忠誠保險計劃,則該法團須在前 一份保險單屆滿後7個營業日內,提交證監會一份確認書,述 明該法團目前是及自該屆滿時起已根據新的保險單如此投購 保險。
- 16. 另外,為了利便管理,第3(3)(b)(ii)、3(3)(c)或3(5)條提述的確

認書須述明該法團是如此投購保險的有關保險單的生效日期 及屆滿日期。

# 不需要有關保險單的副本

17. 就根據第 3(3)(b)或(c)條獲得的豁免而言,原本規定法團須向證 監會呈交有關的保險單的副本的草擬條文(第 3(3)(b)(i)(A)條)現 已刪除。此舉有助減輕持牌法團或有關的牌照申請人在申請豁 免時的行政負擔。

# <u> 附表 2:指明風險</u>

## 關於在香港經營的業務的指明風險

- 18. 若干回應者查詢忠誠保險計劃的風險保障範圍。證監會希望就 此述明,忠誠保險計劃旨在就持牌法團在香港進行的相關受規 管活動提供特定的保險保障。只要該項受規管活動是在香港進 行並形成該持牌法團的部分營業額,且不論該持牌法團的損失 是否歸因於其海外的營運,上述保險保障均適用。就此,《草 擬規則》附表2第2條已作出修訂,以更準確地反映這個政策 的意向。
- 19. 另一方面,部分回應者認為附表2第4條以下的條文(現為經修 訂的《草擬規則》附表2第2條)似乎與保障持牌法團的集體或 全球保險單(而有關的持牌法團是打算藉著該集體或全球保險 單獲得豁免)無關。我們接納上述意見,並已修訂《草擬規則》, 加入第3(4)(a)條以規定附表2第2條並不適用於涉及根據第 3(3)(b)或(c)條獲得豁免的集體或全球保險單。

#### 管理事宜

#### 常務委員會

- 正如在諮詢文件中建議,證監會將根據《證券及期貨條例》第 8(1)(a)條成立一個常務委員會,負責考慮及決定保險費的分攤 事宜。該常務委員會將會由每類有關的受規管活動的業內代表 及證監會人員組成。
- 本會從接獲的回應得悉,若干業內團體渴望可以派員參與該常務委員會。就此,證監會確保將於稍後邀請相關的業內團體派出代表加入該常務委員會。
- 22. 此外,證監會理解到業界普遍關注忠誠保險計劃的成本及其他 管理事宜,例如揀撰保險人、監管保險人的表現和處理受保人 的申索及投訴等。就此,證監會決定相關的管理事宜將會定期 提交該常務委員會以作討論。

# 結語

- 23. 證監會對所有曾就這次公開諮詢提供寶貴建議及意見的業界 從業員及對此感興趣的人士致以深切謝意。
- 為協助忠誠保險計劃的管理,證監會在這項計劃落實後,將向 有關的持牌法團發表詳細的指引。

# 證券及期貨事務監察委員會

2002年9月

# Summary of comments received on the draft Securities and Futures (Insurance) Rules

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Арр	lication			
1	s.3(1) and Schedule 1	The draft Rules apply to corporations which are licensed to carry out Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 2 (dealing in futures contracts) or Type 8 (securities margin financing) regulated activity under s.116(1) of the Securities and Futures Ordinance ("SFO").	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] We query as to the reason that the SFC has excluded corporations licensed for Type 3 (leveraged foreign exchange) activity from the Rules. Leveraged foreign exchange is under the same regulatory regime and its associated fidelity risks are the same as that of futures and securities.	A corporation licensed for Type 3 regulated activity (not being an introducing agent) is required to maintain an issued and paid-up capital of not less than HK\$30 million and a liquid capital of not less than HK\$15 million. These requirements are more stringent than those applicable to a corporation licensed for Type 1, Type 2 or Type 8 regulated activity where a minimum paid-up capital of HK\$5 million (HK\$10 million if engaged in securities margin financing) and a minimum liquid capital of HK\$3 million are required. In addition, leveraged foreign exchange traders are generally subject to more statutory restrictions on their business operations. For instance, the gross position of a leveraged foreign exchange trader shall not exceed 60 times its liquid capital. Coupled with our experience of minimal infidelity events occurred in relation to leveraged foreign exchange traders, the SFC decided not to require corporations licensed for Type 3 regulated activity to participate in the proposed Scheme at this stage.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response			
Exe	Exemptions						
2	s.3(2) (now s.3(3))	These Rules do not apply to a corporation which is not an exchange participant upon satisfying certain criteria.	[Linklaters] The exemptions only apply to a licensed corporation that is not an exchange participant. It is unclear why an exchange participant that could be covered by a group or global policy is required to participate in the Scheme. This does not seem to be consistent with the aim to have a single licensing regime in Hong Kong. [Name of respondent withheld as requested] The SFC should apply the same rationale with respect to exchange participants who have insurance equivalent to the Scheme proposed by the Rules. We see that there should be no difference in the risks borne by an exchange participant and a non- exchange participant where they are covered by the same master policy. Thus, there should be provisions in the Rules under which exchange participants can seek exemption.	<ul> <li>With a view to maintaining stability of the Hong Kong market and providing licensees which trade Hong Kong securities and/or future contracts with a quality and standardized insurance coverage against the specified risks, the SFC proposed to require all licensed corporations which are participants of the Hong Kong exchanges to participate in the Scheme.</li> <li>In fact, existing securities dealers which are exchange participants are now required to take part in the Brokers' Fidelity Insurance ("BFI") Scheme arranged by the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited regardless of whether or not they are covered by other insurance policies against the same risks.</li> <li>As noted in the Consultation Document (paragraph 12), upon implementation, the new Scheme would replace the BFI Scheme.</li> </ul>			
3	s.3(2)(a) (now s.3(3))	These Rules do not apply to a corporation which is not an exchange participant if it is insured by another insurance policy against the specified risks for an insured amount not less than that specified in Schedule 3.	[The Securities Law Committee of the Law Society of Hong Kong ("SLC")] It is submitted that if exemptions are to be given on the basis of existing group policies, companies which are part of larger groups which are adequately covered by group insurance policies should be exempt from the compulsory insurance regime without further qualification, save as to the amount of the coverage and undertakings to report and provide information to the SFC.	The SFC considers that only those non-exchange participants which are covered by group policies against the specified risks should be exempted from joining the Scheme for reasons stated in item 2 above.			

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
4	s.3(2)(a) (ii) (now s.3(3))	For the purpose of exemption, the corporation shall submitted a written confirmation from a responsible officer and its legal advisers that it is insured in relation to the specified risks and for that amount.	[Linklaters] It is suggested that the exemption requirement should be to be insured in relation to risks "substantially similar" to those specified in Schedule 2, as it is unlikely that a group or global policy would contain exactly the same risks.	In principle, the group or global policy that a licensed corporation relies upon in order to be exempted from participating in the Scheme shall cover (without limitation) the risks as specified in Schedule 2. The SFC considers that the term "substantially similar" would create ambiguity to the market participants.
5	s.3(2)(a) (ii) (now s.3(3))	Ditto	[SLC] The Committee draws the SFC's attention that any law firm providing such written confirmation will only be able to do so on a heavily qualified basis. In particular, the law firm will need to make assumptions as to matters of fact (e.g. due execution, payment of premium etc.) surrounding circumstances (e.g. nothing that would affect the doctrine of <i>uberriama fides</i> ), application of overseas law and the other assumptions typically associated with legal opinions.	The SFC trusts that as an independent legal practitioner, a law firm shall exercise professional judgment in forming its views for the purposes of the required written confirmation. This confirmation should be submitted to the SFC as a substantive document in the context of regulatory and compliance functions.
6	s.3(2)(b) (now s.3(3)(a))	These Rules do not apply to a corporation which is not an exchange participant if it does not handle client assets.	[Linklaters] The Submitting Group supports the proposal that the draft Rules should not apply to a licensed corporation that does not handle client assets but suggests this is amended to "hold" client assets.	Agreed. The term "hold" is defined in Schedule 1, Part 1 of the SFO. Please refer to s.3(3)(a) of the revised draft Rules.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	<b>Respondent's Comments</b>	SFC's Response
7	s.3(3)	A licensed corporation (which is not an exchange participant) may be exempted from the insurance requirements if it is a related company of an exchange participant and it has entered into a client contract with this exchange participant being the first mentioned corporation's executing broker in respect of dealings in securities or futures contracts conducted by the first mentioned corporation.	<ul> <li>[Name of respondent withheld as requested]</li> <li>We would like to obtain clarification on whether an intermediary has to deal exclusively with a designated exchange participant (which should be a related company) in order to enjoy the exemption. If so, there may be practical difficulties because:</li> <li>(a) while we generally deal through our related exchange participant for HK-listed products, we may occasionally deal with other unrelated exchange participants (e.g. in contingency situation or for some special transactions).</li> <li>(b) We deal with overseas brokers directly for overseas products.</li> </ul>	In order to be exempted from participating in the Scheme, a licensed corporation (which is not an exchange participant) has to in the ordinary course of business deal with an exchange participant(s) which is a related company requiring to take out and maintain insurance (except for emergency situations where alternative arrangements may be made). In this regard, the scheme master policy taken out by the relevant exchange participant(s) will also cover related losses incurred by that licensed corporation effects transactions on behalf of its clients with other brokers which are not its related companies (or overseas brokers) and the licensed corporation holds client assets, this licensed corporation would be required to comply with the insurance requirements even though it is not an exchange participant.
Spec	rified risks			
8	s.1 and s.2 of Schedule 2	A person requiring insurance shall take out and maintain insurance that covers the loss of client assets of that person (including client assets that are received or held by an associated entity of that person) attributable to fraudulent or dishonest acts committed by employees of that person (or its associated entity or service bureau), etc.	[SLC] With respect to the risks covered, consideration should be given to include risks attributable to fraud, dishonesty etc. by relevant people relating to defective securities (for example, forged share certificates, CCASS eligible securities which are subject to freezing tracing or other equitable remedies which thereby result in the securities ceasing to be "eligible securities of the CCASS Rules, etc).	According to the SFC's adviser on this matter, the scheme master policy will be drafted as widely as the insurance market will permit. Moreover, there would be coverage under the proposed policy wording in respect of liabilities arising from the inability to complete transactions due to counterfeit or forged securities.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
9	s.1 and s.2 of Schedule 2	ditto	[SLC] The risk of loss is not limited to loss resulting from fraudulent or dishonest acts by licensed corporations, associated entities or their respective employees. There may well be other ways in which fraudulent or dishonest acts can result in loss of client assets.	The risks as specified in Schedule 2 are set out as the minimum requirements in terms of insurance coverage. The SFC notes that in considering the insurance coverage as minimum requirement, one shall also bear in mind the overall cost incurred to the industry.
10	s.1, s.2 and s.3 of Schedule 2 (Paragraphs 18A and 18B of the Consultation Document)	A person requiring insurance shall take out and maintain insurance that covers the risks arising out of the loss of client assets attributable to [paragraph 18A] fraudulent or dishonest acts committed by employees of the person requiring insurance (or its associated entity or service bureau) and [paragraph 18B] negligent acts done or omitted to be done by the licensed corporation or its employees (or by its associated entity or employees of its associated entity).	[SLC] A critical distinction between the specified risks in paragraphs 18A and 18B of the Consultation Document is that 18A is risk of loss of assets – which does not cover loss attributable to the diminution in the value of assets due to any of the attributable factors. In contrast, 18B is broader in that it covers loss attributable to negligent acts which, on its face, includes diminution in value.	According to the SFC's adviser on this matter, as an usual insurance market practice, paragraph 18A refers to first party claim and specific perils while paragraph 18B is to provide a broader coverage of legal liability or responsibility.
11	s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2	The risks specified in Schedule 2 shall exclude losses attributable to branch offices maintained outside Hong Kong by the person requiring insurance.	<ul> <li>[SLC]</li> <li>While the proposal to limit insurance coverage to licensed corporations' business in Hong Kong is noted and supported, further clarification is needed.</li> <li>(i) If a licensed person takes a client's instruction in Hong Kong and relays it to an overseas broker for execution, is this business being done in Hong Kong in whole or in part?</li> </ul>	By receiving a client's instruction in Hong Kong, the transaction concerned is regarded as a business conducted in Hong Kong. The intention is to cover this business against the specified risks.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
			<ul> <li>(ii) At which point in the sequential chain of events commencing with a client placing an instruction and ending with the trade being settled and cleared does the transaction cease to be "business in Hong Kong"? This is particularly relevant in the context of licensed corporations which are part of wider groups which collectively take client instructions over the Internet or by email.</li> </ul>	If the licensed corporation suffers a loss from a transaction which at some point is supported by or conducted as part of the licensed corporation's operation in Hong Kong and is booked as the turnover of the licensed corporation, the insurance coverage should apply.
12	s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2 (Paragraph 17 of the Consultation Document)	The risks specified in Schedule 2 shall exclude losses attributable to branch offices maintained outside Hong Kong by the person requiring insurance.	[SLC] As a technical point, paragraph 17 of the Consultation Document states that the insurance coverage is specific to licensed corporations' businesses in Hong Kong. However, it is phrased differently in the relevant section (s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2) under the draft Rules, where it states that losses attributable to branch offices maintained overseas will not be covered. This would seem to mean that losses caused to businesses in Hong Kong by fraudulent acts of overseas employees are not covered by the proposed scheme which is different from the intention expressed in the Consultation Document.	S.4(a) of Schedule 2 has been amended in the light of this comment. Please refer to s.2 of Schedule 2 of the revised draft Rules.
13	s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2	Ditto	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] Although the draft Rules require that the insurance coverage "would be specific to the licensed corporations' businesses in Hong Kong", the draft Rules do not specifically confine the coverage to securities and futures products listed on the Hong Kong Exchanges. Clarification is sought on whether the insurance should cover dealings in overseas products.	The insurance will cover dealings in both Hong Kong and overseas products provided that such dealings are businesses of the licensed corporations conducted in Hong Kong.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
14	s.4 (now s.2) of Schedule 2	The risks specified shall exclude (a) losses attributable to branch offices maintained outside Hong Kong, and (b) losses arising otherwise than in respect of the licensed person's regulated activity concerned.	[Linklaters] S.4 (now s.2) of Schedule 2 does not appear relevant in relation to a global or group policy.	Agreed. For the purpose of exemption, a group or global insurance policy that a licensed corporation relies upon is not subject to the exclusion provision under s.4 of Schedule 2 (now revised to as s.2 of Schedule 2). Please refer to s.3(4)(a) of the revised draft Rules.
Insu	ered amounts			
15	s.1 and s.2 of Schedule 3	Where a licensed corporation undertakes 1 regulated activity requiring insurance, the insured amount is HK\$15 million. If a licensed corporation undertakes more than one regulated activity requiring insurance, the insured amount is HK\$25 million.	[Linklaters] It is suggested that a reduction on the insured amount should be applied on a group basis where regulated activities are conducted through separate licensed corporations that share common back office and other functions.	Having consulted its adviser on this matter, the SFC recognizes that a reduction of the insured amount due to sharing of back office and other functions on a group basis would not be practicable for the proposed Scheme due to considerable variation in business models among different groups. In that regard, whilst some groups share common functions and management staff for all licensed entities, some maintain separate functional units and different management personnel in respect of each licensed entity. Hence, it would require significant administrative work (and costs) to assess and quantify the relevant impacts on each group causing the Scheme more costly to licensed persons. Furthermore, the potential loss in relation to back-office staff is but only one of the areas that could give rise to losses under the scheme master policy. We therefore do not intend to reduce the insured amount lower than the current level of HK\$15 million under the BFI Scheme.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response			
Leve	evel of deductible						
16	s.3 of Schedule 3 (now s.3(4)(b))	Where, in respect of a scheme period, the underwriter is liable under the scheme master policy for only the part of a loss or claim by a person requiring insurance that exceeds a certain amount, that amount shall not exceed HK\$3 million.	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] S.3 of Schedule 3 (now s.3(4)(b)) provides that the excess amount should not exceed HK\$3 million. While these limits may be practical in the case of smaller intermediaries, intermediaries which are part of a large financial group, are normally covered by a master insurance policy taken out by the financial group. Such master policy would normally have a deductible in excess of HK\$3 million due to their size and financial standing. We would therefore suggest that the SFC consider including an exception to s.3 (now s.3(4)(b)) of the draft rules to exclude intermediaries where they are subsidiaries of authorized financial institutions ("AFI") which are already covered by the AFI's master insurance policy. This would enable such intermediaries who would have a large deductible amount in excess of HK\$3 million under their master group policy to continue to provide an effective service to the market, while being covered by their master policy.	In the interest of fairness to all licensed corporations, the SFC decided to follow the existing BFI practice of requiring a (self- insured) deductible amount of HK\$3 million. This deductible amount is consistent with the minimum liquid capital required for dealers. The SFC notes that this level of deductible has been increased over time under the BFI Scheme and is a compromise between the maximum loss the smaller licensees could bear without putting too great a financial burden upon them and also being high enough to enable cost-effective insurance coverage to be purchased in the market. Having consulted with its adviser on this matter, the SFC understands that this level of deductible amount is high for most of the (small) intermediaries and reasonable for the others. In maintaining a level playing field across the market, the SFC considers it inappropriate to provide the suggested exception to licensed corporations which are subsidiaries of AFI.			
17	s.3 of Schedule 3 (now s.3(4)(b))	ditto	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] The SFC should be flexible in determining the amount of deductible for the insured licensee. The deductible level should be varied according to the capital base of the insured licensee. As the insurance premium is influenced by the level of the deductible, where the deductible level is higher, the insurance premium should be lower.	In theory, different levels of deductible could be allowed for different licensees. However, practically speaking, this would create additional monitoring duties (and costs) and would cause significant complications in underwriting the Scheme, particularly when the capital base of a licensee changes over the scheme period.			

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Role	of the SFC			
18	s.7(1)	This Rule sets out the SFC's role in arranging the Scheme.	[Linklaters] The Submitting Group assumes that the SFC will consider and provide for the interaction between the Scheme and any other insurance taken out by the insured. For example, in the event of a claim by an insured, there should be co-operation between the Scheme's insurers and the global insurers in the sharing of claims information and settlement of the claims.	On advice of its adviser on this matter, the SFC understands that the usual insurance market practice may allow for the said interaction between insurers. This matter will be included in the tender document and considered in the tender process when selecting the insurer to underwrite the Scheme.
19	s.7(1)(d)	The SFC's role in arranging the Scheme may include receiving notifications of claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims under the Scheme from persons requiring insurance and transmitting such notifications to the underwriter.	[Linklaters] By receiving notifications of claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims (under s.7(d) of the draft Rules), the SFC will receive information which may result in a potential conflict of interest between the SFC's role as arranger of the Scheme and its regulatory function. The Group assumes that the SFC has considered this and will ensure that steps are taken to keep the two roles separate. If there were no Chinese Walls or other protection, a licensed corporation may be discouraged from submitting a notice of potential claim under its errors and omissions policy if such information were likely to lead to the SFC taking action against the licensed corporation.	The SFC does not see that there is any conflict of interest arising from performing its regulatory role and its role in arranging the Scheme. As in the current BFI arrangement, the SFC has been notified by exchange participants of any claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims. Upon receipt of such information, the SFC often assesses the implications of those incidents and determines the appropriate follow-up actions to be taken. The SFC considers that both roles are performed with the same view to maintaining stability of the financial market and protecting the investors.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Disc	losure of infor	mation		
20	s.9(1)	A person requiring insurance shall submit to the SFC (or any person assisting the SFC under s.7(2)) such information about the person requiring insurance and its business as the SFC may require for the purposes of arranging the scheme of insurance.	[The Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd] The requirement that licensed corporation has to fulfill the duties of disclosures may result in the inability of the licensed corporation to apply for claim. Therefore the required disclosures should be simple and straight forward and in a standard form such that the licensed corporations can comply easily.	It is intended that the required disclosure would be simple and straightforward (both in the setup of the Scheme and the on- going claim procedures) but only to the extent that this does not prejudice securing a cost effective scheme. Typically, if insurers do not receive the required information, they may simply either refuse to quote or price terms conservatively (i.e. higher).
21	s.9(2)(c) (now s.9(2)(b))	A person requiring insurance is to be taken as having consented to the disclosure by the SFC (or any person assisting the SFC under s.7(2)) for the purposes of arranging the scheme of insurance to an insurer, of information relating to the person requiring insurance where that information was obtained by the SFC from the person requiring insurance.	<ul> <li>[Linklaters]</li> <li>(i) It is suggested the reference to insurer in s.9(2)(c) (now s.9(2)(b))should be deleted as distribution of the information should be limited to persons actually connected with the Scheme.</li> <li>(ii) The Submitting Group would also expect there to be a confidentiality requirement on these persons.</li> <li>(iii) Given the potential impact of such disclosure, we assume that a licensed corporation will be notified of or copied on information provided to the insurer – a licensed corporation would be concerned to ensure that the information presented is accurate and current.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Having consulted with its adviser on this matter, the SFC notes that "the insurer" as referred to in s.9(2)(c) (now s.9(2)(b)) is actually a party connected with the Scheme. Hence, it should not be deleted.</li> <li>These persons would be required to sign relevant confidentiality undertaking.</li> <li>The SFC considers that it would not be practical to notify (or copy) the licensed corporations of all information provided to the insurer. Indeed, this would not be necessary because by virtue of s.9 of the draft Rules, the Commission would only disclose to the relevant persons such information relating to a licensed corporation.</li> <li>Moreover, the SFC notes that a licensed corporation as held by the insurer on request made to the insurer.</li> </ul>

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response		
Leve	evel of premium					
22	-	General comments	[Linklaters] The draft Rules do not apply to a licensed corporation that is a related corporation to an exchange participant where the exchange participant acts as its executing broker in respect of its dealings in securities or futures for or on behalf of its clients, where the licensed corporation is responsible to its clients in respect of the acts of the exchange participant; provided that the exchange participant takes out and maintains insurance under which both the exchange participant and the licensed corporation are insured. It is not clear whether this would result in increased insurance premium for the exchange participant.	This will not result in increased insurance premium for an exchange participant as only one limit is exposed and the premium is intended to be allocated based on the turnover of the exchange participant concerned. Therefore, the turnover would not be double counted.		
23	-	General comments	<ul> <li>[The Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd]</li> <li>(i) The Scheme should result in a general reduction of premium due to the spreading of risk over a wider spectrum. As our members are concerned about costs, we would like to suggest that the premium payable on the introduction of the Scheme should not be higher than that of the preceding year.</li> <li>(ii) In addition, we would like to see that the securities dealers sector be well represented in the Standing and Advisory Committee on account that it is the largest. The representatives should be given all relevant information concerning the determination of</li> </ul>	Although greater economies of scale may be achieved due to increase in the number of participants in the pool (as compared with BFI), the exact premium level cannot be ascertained until completion of the tender process. Furthermore, it is noted that apart from the number of participants, there are other factors affecting the level of premium such as overall market conditions, claim history and trend as foreseen by the insurer. In arranging the Scheme, industry associations including the Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd and Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd have already joined the SFC's working group. It is also proposed that representatives of the relevant industry associations would become members of the Standing Committee.		

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
			premium by the insurer and the allocation to the various sectors. We would like to ensure that the allocation of premium is fair to our members.	As regards the Advisory Committee, it should be noted that the composition of that Committee is stipulated by the legislation in that the majority members shall be appointed by the Chief Executive. However, in addressing the respondent's concern, it is now proposed that the selection of and the rationale behind choosing an insurer will also be tabled before the Standing Committee for comments prior to finalization.
24	-	General comments	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] To safeguard the insurance cost for exchange participants, the SFC should consider legislative measures to prevent any substantial increase of premium by the insurer due to extraordinary events that may have material impact on the market (e.g. September 11 event).	The SFC reckons that it may not be appropriate to impose legislative measures in relation to the level of premium, which should be determined by commercial forces in the insurance market following the tender procedures.
Allo	cation of prem	ium		
25	_	General comments	[SLC] While it is noted that a single scheme may well have the benefit of lowering the average insurance premium borne by individual licensees for the reasons stated, it must also be pointed out that licensed corporations which are "good" insurance risks are effectively being required to subsidize those licensed corporations which may be viewed by the insurance provider as being of "higher risk".	After consulting its adviser on this matter, the SFC was given to understand that this situation is inevitable to a certain degree in the context of assessing the overall industry risk and is actually the principle of all insurance regardless of whether or not it is effected under a common scheme. However, all efforts would be made to mitigate this effect. For instance, as proposed in the Consultation Document (paragraph 28b), licensees which have claims paid in the past 3 years will have an additional loading applied on their premium.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	<b>Respondent's Comments</b>	SFC's Response
26	-	General comments	[Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd] It is noted that the existing BFI Scheme deals singularly with securities and premium is calculated using annual turnover in dollar terms as a factor. However in the proposed Scheme, the securities dealings in BFI will be merged with futures contracts and the business of margin financing. It is obvious that the added activities are different products involving different risk factors in their nature as well as day-to-day operations. Moreover, margin financing is basically an on-going state of affairs and its nature cannot be readily assessed by using a single factor of turnover as in securities. Therefore, as the question of "fair" premium, we suggest that it should be equitably allocated.	A Standing Committee comprising representatives of the various sectors of the industry will be established to oversee the fair allocation of premium among participating firms. As illustrated in Appendix 2 of the Consultation Document, the insurer will be asked to break the global premium down into 5 amounts with each amount representing its views on the overall risk weighting attaching to that sector.
27	-	General comments	<ul> <li>[Linklaters]</li> <li>(i) It is suggested that turnover may not be the best measure of business risk. Under the current proposal, the larger financial institutions will bear a larger portion of the global premium due to their high market share but this does not mean these institutions have a higher overall risk. In fact, many such institutions have their own insurance policies and internal control systems to mitigate risks. These factors should be considered when allocating the premium.</li> </ul>	Turnover is a standard objective benchmark used by insurers of these risks to gauge overall business activity and thus is a good indicator of the overall risk. Moreover, the fact that all licensees concerned will be required to pay a minimum "floor" premium regardless of turnover will act as a counter-balance to this issue.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	<b>Respondent's Comments</b>	SFC's Response
			<ul> <li>(ii) In Appendix 2 of the Consultation Document, an example is given on how the premium will be allocated. For securities dealers the variable amount is described as "last annual transaction values". What business activities are meant to be covered by that term?</li> </ul>	It means the turnover of all securities dealing transactions conducted in Hong Kong which represents the insured business under the Scheme. However, to avoid double-counting, in calculating insurance premium borne by a licensed corporation, certain part of its turnover, which are related to the transactions executed through an exchange participant being its related company, can be excluded. The turnover excluded would be taken into account in calculating the insurance premium paid by the exchange participant concerned.
			(iii) The variable amount for securities margin financiers is described as "average monthly loan balance last year". How is this average to be calculated? Is it simply the average of each month end balance?	This amount comes from the total margin loan balance receivable after deducting any specific provision for bad or doubtful debts. It is currently reported by securities margin financiers in their monthly FRR returns (Table 1 in Form 3).
28	-	General comments	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] The premium should not be based on the turnover of the insured's activity as turnover is not indicative of the risks undertaken by the insured licensee. It also results in higher premium to be paid by larger brokers which have higher turnover. We suggest that the capital base, the clients' asset base, the deductible level and the risk control system of the insured as criteria in determining premium. These are material factors in evaluating the risk profile of the insured licensee.	Apart from turnover, claim history would also be taken into account in determining the premium paid by individual licensed corporations after commencement of the Scheme. It would provide an objective reflection of the risk control system of the insured. In addition, as noted in item 27(i), the minimum "floor" premium regardless of turnover will act as a counter-balance to this issue. Any changes in criteria or inclusion of qualitative factors in calculating the insurance premium would unnecessarily complicate the Scheme and increase the overall cost as a consequence.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
29	-	General comments	[Linklaters] The Submitting Group would like further information on how the global premium is allocated between the five different sectors. Would the Standing Committee look at other factors, such as the risk management systems and policies of each entity?	The insurer will be asked to give their allocation having regard to the risks associated with each individual sector. The suggested allocation will then be tabled in a Standing Committee meeting for comments. The general risk profile of each sector (rather than individual entity), including the risk management infrastructure, will be factored in.
30	-	General comments	<ul> <li>[Linklaters]</li> <li>(i) Whilst a Standing Committee, comprising members of the financial services industry and the SFC, will be established to consider and determine the allocation of premium, there is no indication in the Consultation Document that the terms and conditions of the Scheme's master policy will be the subject of public consultation, or who, if anyone, other than the SFC will have to approve those terms.</li> </ul>	Terms and conditions of the master policy will not be subject to public consultation. However, as proposed in the Consultation Document (paragraph 44), the SFC, or through its adviser, would arrange a tender of the proposed scheme in the Hong Kong and international insurance market. Selection of the successful insurer or a combination of insurers would be determined by the SFC on advice from its Advisory Committee.
			<ul><li>(ii) Under s.6 (now s.7) of the draft Rules, the SFC has the ability to determine the terms and conditions of the Scheme's master policy. It is suggested this is also be brought in front of the Standing Committee.</li></ul>	The SFC agrees that the selection process shall be run in a transparent manner and the selection of and rationale behind choosing an insurer will be tabled before the Standing Committee for comments prior to finalization.
			<ul> <li>(iii) As the Standing Committee is comprised of members of the financial industry and will receive confidential information about other members of the financial industry, the Submitting Group assumes that steps will be taken to ensure that confidentiality is fully respected including subjecting each individual</li> </ul>	Each member of the Standing Committee will be required to sign a confidentiality undertaking.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	<b>Respondent's Comments</b>	SFC's Response
			member to a confidentiality undertaking.	
31	-	General comments	[Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd] We believe we need to be represented in the new Standing Committee on the Scheme. Only by this arrangement that we can efficiently and at first hand communicate to the SFC views of our members and vice versa.	In arranging the proposed insurance scheme, industry associations including the Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd and Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd have already joined the SFC's working group. It is also intended that representatives of the relevant industry associations would become members of the Standing Committee.
32	-	General comments	<ul> <li>[Name of respondent withheld as requested]</li> <li>(i) We suggest that the Standing Committee becomes involved in other administrative aspects of the Scheme such as monitoring the negotiation and implementation of the Scheme regarding pricing, performance of the insurer, complaints from the insured etc.</li> <li>(ii) To facilitate efficiency, the insured should be able to deal directly with the insurer.</li> </ul>	As mentioned in item 30, the selection process will be run in a transparent manner and the Standing Committee will be consulted with before finalization. In addition, the Standing Committee will also be regularly informed in relation to claims and other administrative matters. Details will be provided in its terms of reference. According to the SFC's adviser on this matter, the insured will have to deal through the appointed insurance broker and it is not unusual for tri-partite meetings to be held.
Com	mencement			
33	-	General comments	[SLC] There are no provisions addressing persons who currently benefit from exempt status during the	All licensed corporations including existing exempt persons to be deemed as licensed corporations during the transitional

transitional period from the commencement of the SFO and ending on the date(s) on which they either obtain licensed status or terminate their current

activities. Presumably this is intentional?

period have to comply with the draft Rules on the proposed

commencement date (i.e. 1 April 2003).

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
34	-	General comments	[Linklaters] There is no indication as to how this is going to work in practice during the transitional period of Part V of the SFO. The Group would appreciate some guidance as to how the transitional arrangements will work.	Detailed administrative procedures in relation to the Scheme will be issued to the relevant licensed corporations by the end of this year after completion of the tender process.
Stan	ding of the in	surers underwriting the Scheme		
35	-	General comments	[Linklaters] There are no provisions relating to the standing of the insurers who will underwrite the Scheme. The definition of "insurer" is wide in the draft Rules. In order to enhance market confidence, the insurers permitted to underwrite the Scheme should be required to satisfy certain criteria, such as meeting a specified credit rating and meeting a minimum capitalization threshold.	Agreed. The draft Rules have been amended to require that an insurer underwriting the Scheme shall have a credit rating specified by the SFC as a minimum standard. In addition, the SFC considers it appropriate that this requirement also applies to those insurers of group or global policies which licensed corporations (not being exchange participants) may rely upon in seeking exemption from participating in the Scheme. Furthermore, to avoid possible risk transfer among group companies, it is now proposed thatsuch insurers of group or global policies shall not be related corporations of the licensed corporations concerned.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response		
Alter	Alternative to joining the Scheme					
36 Nego	- otiation of term	General comments	[Linklaters] As an alternative to joining the Scheme, the SFC should permit entities to buy their own insurance based on minimum requirements specified by the SFC, such as self insured limit, risks to be covered, specified insurance companies that are acceptable to the SFC. This is the practice followed in the United States. This avoids sharing of confidential information with competitors. In the United States, the regulators periodically inspect the policy.	At the initial stage of implementation, the SFC considers that it would be beneficial to the industry if the majority licensed corporations are to participate in the common Scheme for the sake of better quality control and economies of scale. Upon accumulation of experience for the industry as a whole, the SFC would review the proposed arrangement and consider the suggested alternative in due course.		
37	-	General comments	<ul> <li>[SLC]</li> <li>When negotiating the common policy, the SFC should ensure that it is a term of the policy that default by one licensee should not affect the coverage of other licensees (although the ultimately defaults by licensees will affect the premium payable by all in future years).</li> <li>[Linklaters]</li> <li>The Submitting Group assumes that notwithstanding that licensed corporations are participating in a single insurance policy, that acts of one insured will not affect the policy as it applies to other insured. For example, the failure by one licensed corporation to comply with the terms should not affect the policy vis-à-vis other participants in the Scheme.</li> </ul>	Agreed. This issue will be factored into the negotiations with potential insurers and the intention will be to provide for severability for each licensed corporation insured under the scheme master policy. This matter will be included in the tender document for selection of the insurer of the Scheme.		

# List of Respondents

Date of submission	Respondent <note></note>
24 July 2002	The Securities Law Committee of the Law Society of Hong Kong
25 July 2002	The Institute of Securities Dealers Limited
26 July 2002	Linklaters (on behalf of Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., J.P. Morgan, Merrill Lynch (Asia Pacific) Limited, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited, Salomon Smith Barney Hong Kong Limited)
29 July 2002	Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Limited

<Note> Apart from the respondents mentioned above, the SFC also received submissions from another 5 respondents which requested the SFC not to publish their names in relation to this public consultation.

# 《證券及期貨(保險)規則》

# 目錄

條次		頁次
1.	生效日期	1
2.	釋義	1
3.	適用範圍	4
4.	就 指 明 風 險 投 購 指 明 款 額 的 保 險 的 責 任	9
5.	根 據 保 險 計 劃 投 購 保 險 並 將 之 保 持 有 效 的 責 任	9
6.	證監會須安排保險計劃	10
7.	證監會在安排保險計劃時的權力	11
8.	保險費不獲退還	12
9.	同意證監會為安排保險的目的而披露資料	12
10.	證監會可修訂信貸評級	13
附表 1	需投購保險的受規管活動	13
附表 2	需投購保險的風險	14

條次		頁次
附表 3	投保款額	17
附表 4	信貸評級	17

# 《證券及期貨(保險)規則》

(由證券及期貨事務監察委員會根據《證券及期貨條例》

(第 571 章)第 116(5)條訂立)

1. 生效日期

本規則自 2003 年 4 月 1 日起實施。

#### 2. 釋義

在本規則中,除文意另有所指外 —

"承保人" (underwriter)指一個或多於一個的保險人,而證監會與該人就某 段計劃期間安排保險計劃;

" 保險人" (insurer)指 —

#64031 v3

- (a) 根據《保險公司條例》(第 41 章)第 6(1)條在香港或從
   香港經營保險業務的人;或
- (b) 根據香港以外地方的法律在香港以外地方或從香港 以外地方經營保險業務的人;
- "保險計劃" (scheme of insurance)指第6條提述的由證監會就某段計劃 期間而安排的保險計劃;
- "保險費" (premium)指指明持牌法團就某段計劃期間須向承保人繳付的 保險費;
- "指明信貸評級" (specified credit rating)指任何一個在附表4指明的信貸
   評級;

- " 指明持牌法團" (specified licensed corporation)指根據第 3(1)條須遵從
   本規則的法團;
- " 指明風險" (specified risks)指附表 2 指明的風險;
- " 指明款額" (specified amount)指附表 3 指明的每段計劃期間所投購保險
   的款額;
- "計劃期間"(scheme period)指計劃集成保險單提述的保險期間;
- "計劃集成保險單" (scheme master policy)指保險計劃的承保人就某段 計劃期間發出的,並據以向指明持牌法團提供第 4 條提述的保險的 保險單;
- "期貨合約交易" (dealing in future contracts)具有本條例附表5第2部給
   予該詞的涵義;
- "證券交易" (dealing in securities)具有本條例附表5第2部給予該詞的涵 義。

(2) 在本規則中,凡根據保險單,保險人只須為指明持牌法團提出的超逾某款額的損失或申索部分負有法律責任,則就該保險單而言,凡 提述可扣除款額,即提述該款額。

#### 3. 適用範圍

(1) 除第(3)款另有規定外,根據本條例第 116(1)條就進行附表 1 指明的受規管活動獲批給牌照的法團須遵從本規則。

(2) 不論第(1)款有任何規定,本規則在作出必要的變通後,適用 於根據本條例第 116(1)條要求就進行附表 1 指明的受規管活動批給牌照的 申請人。

(3) 如第(1)款提述的法團並非交易所參與者而且符合以下說明,
 則該法團無須遵從第4條 —

(a) 該法團獲批給該款提述的牌照,而該牌照須受以下條

# 件規限:該法團不得持有客戶資產;

- (b) 該法團
  - (i) 已就指明風險向一個或多於一個保險人投
     購款額不少於指明款額的保險,而該保險
     人
    - (A) 並非該法團的有連繫法團;及
    - (B) 在第(ii)節或(c)段或第(5)款提述的確

認書提交證監會時已有指明信貸評

級;及

(ii) 在計劃期間開始前的 60 日或之前,已提交證

# 監會一份由該法團的負責人員及法律顧問作

出的述明該法團在計劃期間開始時已根據保

#### 險單如此投購保險的確認書;或

- (c) (凡該法團沒有根據(b)(i)段如此投購保險)該法團在 計劃期間開始前的 60 日或之前,已提交證監會一份 由該法團的負責人員及法律顧問作出的述明該法團 將會在計劃期間開始時根據保險單如此投購保險的 確認書。
- (4) 為施行第(3)(b)(i)款
  - (a) 附表 2 第 1 條須解釋為不受該附表第 2 條規限;及
  - (b) 有關保險可指明款額不超逾\$3,000,000的可扣除款

額。

(5) 凡第(3)(b)(ii)或(c)款提述的保險單在該款提述的計劃期間完結前屆滿,而有關法團投購新的保險單,根據該保險單,該法團是在緊接該屆滿後按照第(3)(b)(i)款投購保險,則該法團須在該屆滿後7個營業日內提交證監會一份由該法團的負責人員及法律顧問作出的述明該法團現時是及已經自該屆滿時起如此投購保險的確認書。

 (6) 第(3)(b)(ii)或(c)或(5)款提述的確認書須述明該法團是或將會 根據第(3)(b)(i)款如此投購保險的有關保險單的生效日期及屆滿日期。

- (7) 凡
  - (a) 任何法團("首述法團")是交易所參與者, 並
    - (i) 根據本條例第 116(1)條獲發牌進行證券交 易或期貨合約交易或兩者:及
    - (ii) 是另一法團("次述法團")的有連繫法團,

#### 而該次述法團 —

(A) 根據本條例第 116(1)條獲發牌進行證

#### 券交易或期貨合約交易或兩者;

(B) 並非交易所參與者;及

#### (C) 是首述法團的客戶;及

(b) 首述法團以執行經紀身分為次述法團進行次述法團 獲發牌為其客戶或代其客戶進行(a)(ii)(A)段的受規管 活動,而次述法團就首述法團以次述法團的執行經紀 身分行事的作為向其客戶負責,

則 —

- (c) 首述法團須根據本規則投購保險並將之保持有效,該 保險須涵蓋關於首述法團及次述法團兩者進行的受 規管活動(而該活動是首述法團以執行經紀身分為次 述法團行事)的指明風險;
- (d) (c)段所指的保險的指明款額須予釐定,方法猶如指明

#### 持牌法團純粹是首述法團一樣;及

(e) 次述法團無須就(c)段所指的已投購保險的指明風

#### 險,另外投購保險並將之保持有效。

4. 就指明風險投購指明款額的保險的責任

(1) 除第 3(3)及(7)(e)條另有規定外,指明持牌法團須就指明風險 投購款額不少於指明款額的保險並將之保持有效。

(2) 有關保險可指明款額不超逾\$3,000,000的可扣除款額。

## 5. 根據保險計劃投購保險並將之保持有效的責任

指明持牌法團須透過 —

# (a) 支付保險費;及

(b) 遵從計劃集成保險單的條款及條件,

就指明持牌法團根據本條例第 116(1)條獲發牌的每段計劃期間,根據保險 計劃投購第4條提述的保險並將之保持有效。

#### 6. 證監會須安排保險計劃

(1) 為施行第5條並在第(2)款的規限下,證監會可與一個或多於 一個保險人安排保險計劃,而根據該保險計劃,指明持牌法團須就某段計 劃期間投購第4條提述的保險並將之保持有效。

(2) 除非某承保人在計劃期間開始當日已有指明信貸評級,否則 證監會不得與該承保人就某段計劃期間安排保險計劃。

#### 7. 證監會在安排保險計劃時的權力

(1) 在不局限第6條的一般性的原則下,證監會根據該條就安排
保險計劃時的權力包括以下的權力 —

- (a) 釐定計劃集成保險單的條款及條件;
- (b) 以證監會書面指明的方式向每個指明持牌法團收取 保險費,並將該等已收取的保險費轉付予承保人:
- (c) 分發由承保人發出的保險證書予有關指明持牌法團;
- (d) 收取來自指明持牌法團根據計劃集成保險單提出的 申索的通知,或收取來自指明持牌法團就相當可能會 導致根據計劃集成保險單提出申索的情況的通知,並 將該等通知轉交予承保人。

(2) 證監會可聘用任何人提供證監會認為有需要或可取的服務, 以協助其行使在安排保險計劃方面的任何部分的權力。

## 8. 保險費不獲退還

指明持牌法團按照本規則繳付的保險費不獲退還。

# 9. 同意證監會為安排保險的目的而披露資料

(1) 指明持牌法團須向證監會(或任何根據第7(2)條協助證監會的人)呈交該會為安排保險計劃的目的而需要的關於該法團及其業務的資料。

(2) 如關於某指明持牌法團的資料是證監會(或任何根據第7(2)條協助證監會的人)從該法團取得的,則該法團須視為已同意證監會(或任何根據第7(2)條協助證監會的人)為安排保險計劃的目的而向 —

- (a) 承保人;或
- (b) 保險人,

# 披露該等資料。

10. 證監會可修訂信貸評級

# 證監會可藉憲報公告修訂附表 4。

# 附表 1 [第 3 條及附表 2 及 3]

# 需投購保險的受規管活動

- 1. 證券交易。
- 2. 期貨合約交易。
- 3. 證券保證金融資。

# 附表 2 [第 2 及 3 條]

#### 需投購保險的風險

除第2條另有規定外,指明持牌法團須就以下風險投購保險並將之
 保持有效 —

- (a) 指明持牌法團所收取或持有的客戶資產(包括由該法 團的有聯繫實體所收取或持有的客戶資產)可歸因於
   以下事項造成損失而引致的損失風險 —
  - (i) 指明持牌法團(或其有聯繫實體或服務部門)

的僱員作出的欺詐或不誠實作為;

- (ii) 在客戶資產由指明持牌法團(或其有聯繫實
   體)保管的情況下所發生的搶劫或盜竊事
   件;
- (iii) 偽造支票或其他可流轉票據,或對支票或其他可流轉票據進行欺詐性竄改;
  - (iv) 使用資訊系統作欺詐用途;
    - (v) 偽造涉及客戶資產的指示或作出涉及客戶 資產的欺詐性指示;
- (b) 可歸因於以下事項的損失風險
  - (i) 指明持牌法團真誠地收到偽鈔;
  - (ii) 在與根據本條例進行的調查有關連的情況
     下所招致的費用及開支,或在其他方面涉及
     該法團所進行的業務並構成附表1指明的受
     規管活動的費用及開支;

(iii) 指明持牌法團在與釐定其已投購保險的某

項損失的款額或關乎該等損失的申索款額

有關連的情況下,招致的合理費用及開支;

- (c) 可歸因於指明持牌法團或其僱員(或其有聯繫實體或 該有聯繫實體的僱員,或其服務部門或該服務部門的 僱員)疏忽地作出的作為的損失風險。
- 第1條指明的風險不包括並非是指明持牌法團在香港進行附表1指
   明的受規管活動的情況下所引致的損失。
- 3. 就本附表而言 —
- "僱員" (employee)就某指明持牌法團而言,包括現時或曾經是該法團的 僱員、高級人員或持牌代表的個人,或現時或曾經(不論是根據服務 合約或以其他方式)獲該法團聘用的個人;
- "服務部門" (service bureau),就某指明持牌法團而言,指獲該法團轉授 該法團在進行附表 1 指明的受規管活動時須附帶執行若干職能的責 任的人。

#### 附表3

#### 投保款額

 凡任何指明持牌法團根據本條例第 116(1)條就進行附表 1 指明的一 類受規管活動獲發牌,則就所有指明風險的每段計劃期間的投保款額是 \$15,000,000。

 凡任何指明持牌法團根據本條例第 116(1)條就進行附表 1 指明的多 於一類的受規管活動獲發牌,則就所有指明風險的每段計劃期間的投保款 額是\$25,000,000。

#### 信貸評級

1. 穆迪的長期保險財務實力評級為"A"級或以上。

2. 標準普爾的保險公司財政實力評級為"A"級或以上。

3. 惠譽的" Insurer Financial Strength Rating" 為" A" 級或以上。

#### 證券及期貨事務監察委員會

主席

2002年 月 日

#### 註釋

本規則由證券及期貨事務監察委員會根據《證券及期貨條例》(第 571 章)第 116(5)條訂立,規定牌照申請人及根據本條例第 116(1)條獲證監會批 給牌照進行若干受規管活動的法團,須根據證監會安排的保險計劃,就指 明風險投購指明款額的保險,並將之保持有效。本規則亦訂明就該保險而 言,該等申請人及持牌法團須遵從的規定。